IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

		First Appeal No.339	of 1987			
NEB C	Baleshwar Mahto @ Ba	aleshwar Prasad & Ors. Versus	Claimant-Appellant/s			
)	 <u>with</u>	Opposite Party- Respondent/s			
OFF	ICIA	First Appeal No. 406 (of 1987			
011	Bilash Mahto @ Ramb	ilash Prasad @ Rambilash				
	State of Bihar	Versus	Claimant-Appellant/s			
	State of Billar	 <u>with</u>	Opposite Party- Respondent/s			
		First Appeal No. 456 of 1987				
	Pramod Kumar & Ors.	Versus	Claimant-Appellant/s			
	State of Bihar	 <u>with</u>	Opposite Party- Respondent/s			
	First Appeal No. 440 of 1987					
	Bal Bhushan Prasad	Versus	Claimant-Appellant/s			
	State of Bihar	 <u>with</u>	Opposite Party- Respondent/s			
		of 1987				
	Raj Kumar & Ors.	Versus	Claimant-Appellant/s			
	State of Bihar	 with	Opposite Party- Respondent/s			

	First A	Appeal No. 446 of 1987			
NEB C	Parwati Devi State of Bihar	Claimant-Appellant/s Versus Opposite Party- Respondent/s with			
	First Appeal No. 454 of 1987				
OFF	First A	Claimant-Appellant/s Versus Opposite Party- Respondent/s with			
	First	Appeal No. 455 of 1987			
	Prasadi Mahto	Claimant-Appellant/s			
	State of Bihar	Opposite Party- Respondent/s with			
	First	Appeal No. 408 of 1987			
	Most. Sharmila Devi & Ors State of Bihar	Claimant- Appellant/s Versus			
	State of Billia	Opposite Party- Respondent/s with			
	First	Appeal No. 413 of 1987			
	Brahmdeo Mahto	Claimant-Appellant/s			
	State of Bihar	Opposite Party- Respondent/s with			
	First	Appeal No. 415 of 1987			

	Most. Sharmila Devi & O			
		Claimant-Appellant/s Versus		
WEB C	State of Bihar	Opposite Party- Respondent/s with		
	First Appeal No. 418 of 1987			
	Surendra Kumar & Ors.			
NOT OFF	State of Bihar	Claimant-Appellant/s Versus		
		Opposite Party- Respondent/s with		
	First Appeal No. 420 of 1987			
	Smt.Maro Devi			
	State of Bihar	Claimant-Appellant/s Versus		
	State of Billar	Opposite Party- Respondent/s with		
	=======================================	First Appeal No. 424 of 1987		
	Most. Sharmila Devi & (
		Claimant-Appellant/s Versus		
	State of Bihar	Opposite Party- Respondent/s with		
	======================================			
	Ram Briksh Mahto			
	State of Bihar	Claimant-Appellant/s Versus		
	State of Bina	Opposite Party- Respondent/s with		
	======================================			

Versus

State of Bihar

.... Opposite Party- Respondent/s

Against the Judgment and Award dated 25.03.1987 passed by Special Land Acquisition Judge, Nalanda at Biharsharif in L.A. Case No.1 of 1987 to 93 of 1987.

Appearance:

VOT OFF

(In FA No. 339 of 1987)

For the Appellant/s: Mr. BIDYA SAGAR
Mr. Samir Kumar Ranjan

For the Respondent/s: Mr. B.N.SINGH (AAG.1)

(In FA No. 406 of 1987)

For the Appellant/s: Mr. DEONATH PD.
For the Respondent/s: Mr. B.N.SINGH (AAG.1)
Mr. H.S.Roy (Jc To Aag.1)

(In FA No. 456 of 1987)

For the Appellant/s: Mr. DEONATH PRASAD

Mr. Vidya Sagar

Mr. Samir Kumar Ranjan
For the Respondent/s: Mr. B.N.SINGH (AAG.1)

Mr. H.S.Roy (Jc To Aag.1)

Mr. Narmadeshwar Jha

(In FA No. 440 of 1987)

For the Appellant/s: Mr. DEONATH PRASAD
For the Respondent/s: Mr. B.N.SINGH (AAG.1)
Mr. H.S.Roy (Jc To Aag.1)

(In FA No. 442 of 1987)

For the Appellant/s: Mr. DEONATH PRASAD

For the Respondent/s: (In FA No. 446 of 1987)

For the Appellant/s: Mr. DEONATH PRASAD

Mr. Vidya Sagar Mr. Vidya Sagar

For the Respondent/s: Mr. B.N.SINGH (AAG1)

(In FA No. 454 of 1987)

For the Appellant/s: Mr. DEONATH PD.
For the Respondent/s: Mr. B.N.SINGH (AAG.1)
Mr. H.S.Roy)Jc To Aag.1)

(In FA No. 455 of 1987)

For the Appellant/s: Mr. DEONATH PD.
For the Respondent/s: Mr. B.N.SINGH (AAG.1)
Mr. H.S.Roy (Jc To Aag.1)

(In FA No. 408 of 1987)

For the Appellant/s: Mr. DEONATH PD. Mr. Vidya Sagar

For the Respondent/s: Mr. B.N.SINGH (AAG.1)

Mr. H.S.Roy (Jc To Aag.1)

(In FA No. 413 of 1987)

For the Appellant/s: Mr. DEONATH PD.
For the Respondent/s: Mr. B.N.SINGH (AAG.1)
Mr. H.S.Roy (Jc To Aag.1)

(In FA No. 415 of 1987)

WEB

NOT OFF

For the Appellant/s: Mr. DEONATH PD.

Mr. Vidya Sagar

For the Respondent/s: Mr. B.N.SINGH (AAG.1) Mr. H.S.Roy (Jc To Aag.1)

(In FA No. 418 of 1987)

For the Appellant/s: Mr. DEONATH PD.

Mr. Vidya Sagar

For the Respondent/s: Mr. B.N.SINGH (AAG.1)

(In FA No. 420 of 1987)

For the Appellant/s: Mr. DEONATH PD.
For the Respondent/s: Mr. B.N.SINGH (AAG.1)

(In FA No. 424 of 1987)

For the Appellant/s : Mr. DEONATH PD.

Mr. Vidya Sagar

For the Respondent/s: Mr. B.N.SINGH (AAG.1)

Mr. H.S.Roy (Jc To Aag.1)

(In FA No. 430 of 1987)

For the Appellant/s : Mr. DEONATH PD.

Mr. Vidya Sagar

For the Respondent/s: Mr. B.N.SINGH (AAG.1)

Mr. H.S.Roy (Jc To Aag.1)

(In FA No. 431 of 1987)

For the Appellant/s: Mr. DEONATH PD.

Mr. Vidya Sagar

For the Respondent/s: Mr. B.N.SINGH (AAG.1)

Mr. H.S.Roy (Jc To Aag.1)

Dated: 4th day of August, 2014

PRESENT

CORAM: THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MUNGESHWAR SAHOO

ORAL JUDGMENT

1. All the claimants of these sixteen First Appeals have filed these First Appeals against the Judgment and Award dated 25.03.1987 passed by the then learned Special Land Acquisition Judge, Nalanda

at Biharsharif in 93 Land Acquisition Case being L.A. No.1 of 1987 to 93 of 1987.

- 2. It appears that 29 and odds acres of different lands of different owners was acquired by the State of Bihar for the purpose of construction of super grid sub station. The Collector made Award in the name of the different persons whose lands were acquired. Being dissatisfied with the Award of the Collector, the land holders filed 93 Land Acquisitions cases which were disposed of by the Land Acquisition Judge.
- 3. The appellants including other appellants filed 63 First Appeals before the High Court. Out of the 63 First Appeals, 24 First Appeals were disposed of by Lok Adalat held on 21.10.2008 and 27.03.2009 whereby the State of Bihar agreed to pay Rs. 2 lakhs per acre to the claimants. Thereafter, 14 cases were heard by this Court and on 19th January, 2012 dismissed by the Judgment. Against the said Judgment dated 19.01.2012 passed in First Appeal No.399/87, 398/87, 409/1987, 426/87, 427/87, 428/87, etc. the claimants filed civil appeal Nos.7401 of 2013 arising out of S.L.P. (C) No.14521 of 2012 and Civil Appeal Nos.7402 of 2013 to 7412 of 2013 before the Hon'ble Supreme Court. The Supreme Court by terms of Judgment dated 02.09.2013 held as follows:-





"It is not in dispute that in the Lok Adalat held in October, 2008 and March, 2009 the State Government agreed to pay compensation to the land owners @ Rs.2 lakhs per acre. Therefore, there is no justification to deny similar benefit to the appellants whose lands were integral part of the acquisition made for construction of super grid sub station."

- Accordingly, the Supreme Court allowed all the Civil Appeals declaring that the appellants are entitled to compensation @ Rs.2 lakhs per acre with all statutory benefits. The State of Bihar was directed to pay the enhanced amount of compensation and statutory benefits including interest and solatium to the appellants within a period of three months by getting prepared demand drafts in their names from a nationalized bank.
- In view of the above facts that almost all the claimants / land holders have been granted benefit by the Lok Adalat or by the Supreme Court, the matter is now covered and, therefore, all these First Appeals are hereby allowed. The impugned Judgment and Award with respect to the appellants is modified and it is declared that all the appellants of these 16 First Appeals are entitled for the compensation @ Rs.2 lakhs per acre with all statutory benefits.



- 6. The learned counsel for the appellant submitted that the bank drafts be prepared in the name of appellant No.1 in each of the case except First Appeal No.456 of 1987 in which case the bank draft be prepared in the name of Shravan Kumar appellant No.1 (C) as the other appellants are residing outside. In view of the submissions above, the State of Bihar respondent is directed to pay the enhanced amount of compensation and statutory benefits including interest and solatium to the appellants within a period of three months by getting prepared demand draft in the names indicated above from nationalized bank.
- 7. In the result, all these First Appeals are allowed.

(Mungeshwar Sahoo, J)

Sanjeev/-

U		